# Sequential Methotrexate and 5-Fluorouracil in the Treatment of Non-small Cell Carcinoma of the Lung

CHRISTINE D. WOOD,\* MAURICE L. SLEVIN,\*† BRUCE A. J. PONDER‡ and PETER F. M. WRIGLEY\*

\*Department of Medical Oncology, St Bartholomew's & Hackney Hospitals, London, U.K. and ‡Institute of Cancer Research: Royal Cancer Hospital, The Haddow Laboratories, Sutton, Surrey, U.K.

Abstract—The sequential administration of methotrexate (MTX) and 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) has been claimed to be synergistic. To investigate the potential synergism in non-small cell carcinoma of the lung (NSCCL), 16 patients were treated with a 2-hr infusion of MTX 200 mg/m² followed after 2 hr by 5-FU l g/m². All patients had an Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status of >2 and had received no previous chemotherapy. No responses were seen. This study demonstrates that sequential MTX and 5-FU in this dosage and schedule is ineffective in NSCCL.

## INTRODUCTION

METHOTREXATE (MTX) and 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) are used in combination in many clinical protocols. Several workers have claimed that optimal scheduling can increase the therapeutic effect [1-3].

It has been proposed that pretreatment with MTX significantly enhances the antitumour activity of the MTX/5-FU combination when compared with simultaneous treatment or treatment with 5-FU preceding MTX [2-6]. A number of clinical trials in breast, colon, and head and neck cancer [4, 7-13] have been conducted employing this sequential administration and the majority of these claim increased efficacy, and synergistic action of the combination.

Both MTX and 5-FU have some activity in nonsmall cell carcinoma of the lung (NSCCL), the response rate to MTX being 16-25% [14-16] and to 5-FU 14-18% [14]. In an attempt to exploit the possible synergism a phase II trial of sequential MTX and 5-FU in the treatment of patients with NSCCL has been conducted.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Patients

Sixteen patients with measurable, inoperable, histologically or cytologically confirmed NSCCL,

with an Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) performance status of 0-2, aged 70 yr or less, with normal renal and hepatic function and adequate bone marrow function, were studied and all patients gave informed consent.

Eight patients had squamous cell carcinoma and eight had adenocarcinoma. Patients with a histological diagnosis of adenocarcinoma had a series of further investigations, including barium studies and IVP, to exclude adenocarcinoma arising from primary sites other than lung.

Five patients had locally inoperable disease and 11 had extensive disease.

No patients had received prior chemotherapy, three patients had received prior radiotherapy: cranial irradiation in one patient and chest irradiation in the other two patients, but not to the sites of assessable disease.

## Chemotherapy

Patients received MTX 200 mg/m² as a 2 hr infusion, followed 2 hr after completion of the infusion by an i.v. bolus of 1 g/m² of 5-FU. After 24 hr folinic acid rescue was given 15 mg p.o. sixhourly for 72 hr.

Treatment was given on day 1 and repeated every 28 days, provided that the full blood count was satisfactory and toxicity acceptable. A minimum of two treatment cycles were given unless interrupted by treatment toxicity or tumour progression.

<sup>\*</sup>Accepted 17 October 1984.

<sup>†</sup>To whom requests for reprints should be addressed at: Department of Medical Oncology, St Bartholomew's Hospital, West Smithfield, London ECIA 7BE, U.K.

Response

Response was defined according to WHO criteria [17].

#### RESULTS

All patients were evaluable for response. No patient achieved a complete or partial response.

Thirteen out of sixteen (81%) demonstrated evidence of progression, and 3/16 (19%) had stable disease after two cycles of treatment. A total of 32 cycles of treatment were given.

Treatment was generally well-tolerated; conjunctivitis occurred in 10%, mucositis in 5% and vomiting in 5%. No bone marrow toxicity requiring treatment delay occurred.

One patient died at home, following a gastrointestinal haemorrhage 13 days after the fourth cycle. A post mortem was not held. However, pretreatment and nadir full blood counts had been satisfactory on each of his preceding three treatment cycles.

## **DISCUSSION**

The lack of any response in this study of previously untreated, relatively fit patients is disappointing. The probability, given no responses out of 16 patients, that the true response rate is greater than 20% is less than 0.05 [18].

The response rates in reported clinical studies [4, 9-13] of sequential 5-FU and MTX range from 23 to 53% for breast carcinoma and from 5 to 50% for colorectal carcinoma. However, Moertel has previously pointed out that the response rate of colorectal carcinoma to 5-FU alone has varied widely, from 8 to 85% [19]. Recent randomised clinical studies conducted by Coates et al. [13] in head and neck cancer and colorectal adenocarcinoma compared MTX preceding 5-FU with 5-FU preceding MTX, and demonstrated no difference in response rates to support sequencedependent effects. A randomised trial by Browman et al. [20], also in head and neck cancer, compared 1-hr sequential vs simultaneous MTX and 5-FU, and demonstrated no difference in response rates.

It seems likely, as suggested by the preclinical studies of Benz and Cadman [3, 8], that optimal scheduling and dosage may be required for each tumour; this is clearly impractical in man. The data presented here show that this dose and schedule of MTX/5-FU is inactive in NSCCL.

It remains possible that other schedules and doses may have activity, but in view of the many permutations possible, it will require detailed evidence, perhaps from xenograft studies, to define those regimens, if any, worthy of further study in patients with this tumour.

## REFERENCES

- 1. Bertino J. Toward improved selectivity in cancer chemotherapy: the Richard and Hinda Rosenthal Foundation award lecture. *Cancer Res* 1979, 39, 293-304.
- Bertino JR, Sawicki CA, Lindquist CA, Gupta VS. Schedule-dependent antitumour effects of methotrexate and 5-fluorouracil. Cancer Res 1977, 37, 327-328.
- 3. Cadman E, Heimer R, Davis L. Enhanced 5-fluorouracil nucleotide formation after methotrexate administration: explanation for drug synergism. *Science* 1979, 205, 1135-1137.
- 4. Tisman G, Wu SJG. Effectiveness of intermediate-dose methotrexate and high-dose 5-fluorouracil as sequential combination chemotherapy in refractory breast cancer and as primary therapy in metastatic adenocarcinoma of the colon. *Cancer Treat Rep* 1980, 64, 829–835.
- 5. Mulder JH, Smink T, van Putten LM. 5-Fluorouracil and methotrexate combination chemotherapy: the effect of drug scheduling. Eur J Cancer 1981, 17, 831-837.
- 6. Kline I, Venditti JM, Mead JAR, Tyrer DD, Goldin A. The antileukemic effectiveness of 5-fluorouracil and methotrexate in the combination chemotherapy of advanced leukemia L1210 in mice. Cancer Res 1966, 26, 848-852.
- Cantrell JE Jr, Brunet R, Lagarde C, Schein PS, Smith FP. Phase II study of sequential methotrexate-5-FU therapy in advanced measurable colorectal cancer. Cancer Treat Rep 1982, 66, 1563-1565.
- 8. Benz C, Cadman E, Modulation of 5-fluorouracil metabolism and cytotoxicity by antimetabolite pretreatment in human colorectal adenocarcinoma HCT-8. *Cancer Res* 1981, 41, 994-999.
- 9. Gewirtz AM, Cadman E. Preliminary report on the efficacy of sequential methotrexate and 5-fluorouracil in advanced breast cancer. *Cancer* 1981, 47, 2552-2555.
- 10. Herrmann R, Manegold C, Rittinghausen R, Fritze D, Schettler G. Sequential methotrexate and fluorouracil in metastasizing colorectal adenocarcinomas. Results of a phase II pilot study (Author's translation). *Dtsch Med Wochenschr* 1982, 107, 492-493.
- 11. Benz C, Tillis T, Tattelman E, Cadman E. Optimal scheduling of methotrexate and 5-fluorouracil in human breast cancer. Cancer Res 1982, 42, 2081-2086.

- 12. Pitman SW, Kowal CD, Papac RJ, Bertino JR. Sequential methotrexate-5-fluorouracil: a highly active drug combination in advanced squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck. *Head Neck Surg* 1981, 3, 256.
- 13. Coates AS, Tattersall MHN, Swanson C, Hedley D, Fox RM, Raghavan D. Combination therapy with methotrexate and 5-fluorouracil: a prospective randomized clinical trial of order of administration. *J Clin Oncol* 1984, **2**, 756–761.
- Selawry OS. Chemotherapy in lung cancer. In: Straus MJ, ed. Lung Cancer: Clinical Diagnosis and Treatment. New York, Grune & Stratton, 1977, 199-221.
- 15. Vincent RG, Pickren JW, Fergen TB et al. Evaluation of methotrexate in the treatment of bronchogenic carcinoma. Cancer 1975, 36, 873-880.
- 16. Selawry OS, Krant M, Scotto J et al. Methotrexate compared with placebo in lung cancer. Cancer 1977, 40, 4-8.
- 17. Miller AB, Hoogstraten B, Staquet M, Winkler A. Reporting results of cancer treatment. *Cancer* 1981, 47, 207-214.
- 18. Lee Y.J. Catane R, Rozencweig M et al. Analysis and interpretation of response rates for anticancer drugs. Cancer Treat Rep 1979, 63, 1713-1720.
- 19. Moertel CG, Reitemeier RJ. Advanced Gastro-intestinal Cancer. Clinical Management and Chemotherapy. New York, Harper & Row, 1969.
- 20. Browman GP, Archibald SD, Young JEM *et al.* Prospective randomized trial of one-hour sequential versus simultaneous methotrexate plus 5-fluorouracil in advanced and recurrent squamous cell head and neck cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 1983, 1, 787-792.